

**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ACTIVELY DAMPING BOOM NOISE
IN A VIBRO-ACOUSTIC ENCLOSURE**

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

5 This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Serial No.
60/261,643, ACTIVE BOOM NOISE DAMPING IN A VIBRO-ACOUSTIC ENCLOSURE,
filed January 12, 2001.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 The present invention relates in general to a system and method for actively
damping boom noise within an enclosure and, more particularly, to such a system and
method which employs both a motion sensor and a collocated microphone and speaker
noise control scheme within an enclosure, such as an automobile cabin.

15 When driven, the cabins of large automobiles, such as sport utility vehicles and
minivans, exhibit a relatively high level of low-frequency "impact boom" noise,
particularly when driven over rough road surfaces. The low-frequency road noise
generated within an automobile cabin is a result of vibro-acoustic resonance within the
cabin interior and is commonly characterized by a number of low-frequency resonant
20 modes. This can detrimentally affect occupant comfort and well being, as well as the
quality of voice and music within the enclosure. The structural dynamics of the panels
which form the cabin, and the acoustic dynamics of the enclosure therein, make up the
elements of this vibro-acoustic system.

25 Known in the relevant art is the use of passive noise control materials in the
interiors of large automobiles. While plush interiors, thick carpets, and other sound
absorbing materials are effective in abating higher frequency sound, they become
increasingly less effective at lower frequencies and totally ineffective at bass
frequencies. Frequently, the overuse of such treatments results in a "dead" sounding
cabin with a loss of the natural clarity and sparkle of voice and music. Consequently, an
active noise control system is required.

The general principals of active noise control are well established and basically consist of detecting the noise to be controlled, and replaying the detected noise in anti-phase via a loudspeaker so that the regenerated noise destructively interferes with the source noise. While several conventional techniques have been found to effectively absorb the energy of offending, low-frequency modes which cause boominess within an enclosure, none are without significant shortcomings. The objectionably large size of conventional low-frequency absorbers, such as Helmholtz resonators (HR), as well as their inability to be tuned to multiple frequencies, and thus requiring a bank of HRs to be installed, make the use of such conventional absorbers in automobile cabins and other relatively small enclosures highly impractical. Other known active noise control systems control noise in only limited local areas within a three-dimensional space.

U.S. Patent No. 5,974,155 teaches a system and method for actively dampening low-frequency noise within an enclosure wherein an electronic feedback loop is employed to drive an acoustic dampening source within an enclosure. The system further employs an acoustic wave sensor or microphone for detecting the low-frequency noise to be dampened. However, in addition to the acoustic resonance generated by low-frequency road noise, a vehicle can also exhibit adjacent vibro-acoustic resonance originating from the structural vibration of the panels which form the vehicle cabin. The active acoustic dampening system of the '155 patent was designed to abate cabin originated resonance. Consequently, the need remains in the relevant art for an active acoustic dampening system which effectively dampens acoustic resonance originating from both the cabin, as well as the vibro-acoustic resonance caused by panel vibration in an automobile.

While further known is the use of detection means which record the rotational velocity of a motor, as well as those which employ an accelerometer or motion sensor, the art is devoid of a system which employs the combination of a collocated microphone and speaker arrangement with that of a motion sensor-based, low-frequency noise control scheme within an enclosure.

Accordingly, the need remains in the present art for a system and method that effectively reduces low-frequency noise within an enclosure, in particular, the enclosure

of an automobile where the noise generated within the cabin is characterized by a number of low-frequency vibro-acoustic modes of significant magnitude.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention meets this need by providing a system and method for actively damping boom noise within an enclosure defining a plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. More specifically, the system is effective in damping cavity induced low-frequency acoustic modes, structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes, low-frequency acoustic modes excited by engine firings, and combinations thereof by way of an active feedback control scheme.

10 In accordance with one embodiment of the present invention, a system for actively damping boom noise is provided comprising an enclosure, an acoustic wave sensor, a motion sensor, an acoustic wave actuator, and a first and second electronic feedback loop. The enclosure defines a plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. The motion sensor, which can comprise an accelerometer, can be secured to a panel of the enclosure and can be configured to produce a motion sensor signal representative of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. The motion sensor signal can comprise an electric signal indicative of measured acceleration detected by the motion sensor as a result of structural vibration of the panel and can be representative of a single or a plurality of structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes.

15 The enclosure can further define a middle roof panel and a rear roof panel. A middle panel motion sensor can be secured to the middle roof panel and a rear panel motion sensor can be secured to the rear roof panel. Both the middle panel and rear panel motion sensors can comprise an accelerometer. The middle panel motion sensor can be configured to produce a middle panel motion sensor signal representative of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes and the rear panel motion sensor can be configured to produce a rear panel motion sensor signal representative of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. The middle panel motion sensor signal can comprise an electric signal indicative of measured acceleration detected by the middle panel motion sensor as a result of structural

vibration of the middle roof panel. In addition, the rear panel motion sensor signal can comprise an electric signal indicative of measured acceleration detected by the rear panel motion sensor as a result of structural vibration of the rear roof panel. The middle and rear panel motion sensor signals can be representative of a single roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode, and can be representative of the same roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode or different roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes. Moreover, the middle and rear panel motion sensor signals can be representative of a plurality of roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes.

The acoustic wave sensor can be positioned within the enclosure and can comprise a microphone. The acoustic wave sensor can be configured to produce an acoustic wave sensor signal representative of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes and can comprise an electric signal indicative of measured acoustic resonance detected by the acoustic wave sensor within the enclosure. The acoustic wave sensor signal can be representative of a single cavity induced low-frequency acoustic mode or a plurality of cavity induced low-frequency acoustic modes.

The first electronic feedback loop can define an acoustic damping controller. The acoustic damping controller can define a first electronic feedback loop input coupled to an acoustic wave sensor signal and a first electronic feedback loop output, wherein the first electronic feedback loop is configured to generate a first electronic feedback loop output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the acoustic wave sensor signal. The second electronic feedback loop can define a vibro-acoustic controller. The vibro-acoustic controller can define a second electronic feedback loop input coupled to a motion sensor signal and a second electronic feedback loop output, wherein the second electronic feedback loop is configured to generate a second electronic feedback loop output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the motion sensor signal.

The second electronic feedback loop can further define a middle panel vibro-acoustic controller in parallel with a rear panel vibro-acoustic controller. The middle panel vibro-acoustic controller can define a middle panel vibro-acoustic controller input

coupled to a middle panel motion sensor signal and a middle panel vibro-acoustic controller output, wherein the middle panel vibro-acoustic controller is configured to generate a middle panel vibro-acoustic controller output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the middle panel motion sensor signal. The rear panel vibro-acoustic controller can define a rear panel vibro-acoustic controller input coupled to a rear panel motion sensor signal and a rear panel vibro-acoustic controller output, wherein the rear panel vibro-acoustic controller is configured to generate a rear panel vibro-acoustic controller output signal by applying an electronic feedback loop transfer function to the rear panel motion sensor signal. The middle and rear panel vibro-acoustic controller output signals can be combined to generate a second electronic feedback loop output signal.

The acoustic wave actuator is substantially collocated with the acoustic wave sensor and can be positioned within the enclosure. The acoustic wave actuator can be responsive to a first and second electronic feedback loop output signal. The acoustic wave actuator substantially collocated with the acoustic wave sensor can be positioned to correspond to the location of the acoustic anti-node of a target acoustic mode within the enclosure and can introduce characteristic acoustic dynamics into the system. The first and second electronic feedback loops can be configured to introduce inverse acoustic dynamics into the system and the first and second electronic feedback loop output signals can be representative of a rate of change of volume velocity to be produced by the acoustic wave actuator.

In accordance with another embodiment of the present invention, the system for actively damping boom noise can further comprise a feedback loop transfer function which comprises a second order differential equation including a first variable representing a predetermined damping ratio and a second variable representing a tuned natural frequency selected to be tuned to a natural frequency of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. Further, the feedback loop transfer function defines a frequency response having a characteristic maximum gain substantially corresponding to the value of the tuned natural frequency. Finally, the feedback loop

transfer function creates a 90 degree phase lead substantially at the tuned natural frequency.

In accordance with another embodiment of the present invention, a method for actively damping boom noise within an enclosure defining a plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes is provided comprising the steps of: securing a motion sensor to a panel of the enclosure, wherein the motion sensor is configured to produce a motion sensor signal representative of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes; positioning an acoustic wave sensor within the enclosure, wherein the acoustic wave sensor is configured to produce an acoustic wave sensor signal representative of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes; positioning an acoustic wave actuator responsive to a first electronic feedback loop output signal and a second electronic feedback loop output signal within the enclosure, wherein the acoustic wave actuator is substantially collocated with the acoustic wave sensor; coupling a first electronic feedback loop input of a first electronic feedback loop to the acoustic wave sensor signal and a first electronic feedback loop output, wherein the first electronic feedback loop is configured to generate the first electronic feedback loop output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the acoustic wave sensor signal; coupling a second electronic feedback loop input of a second electronic feedback loop to the motion sensor signal and a second electronic feedback loop output, wherein the second electronic feedback loop is configured to generate the second electronic feedback loop output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the motion sensor signal; and operating the acoustic wave actuator in response to the first and second electronic feedback loop output signals.

The feedback loop transfer function comprises a second order differential equation including a first variable representing a predetermined damping ratio and a second variable representing a tuned natural frequency selected to be tuned to a natural frequency of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. Further, the feedback loop transfer function defines a frequency response having a characteristic maximum gain substantially corresponding to the value of the tuned

natural frequency. Finally, the feedback loop transfer function creates a 90 degree phase lead substantially at the tuned natural frequency.

In accordance with another embodiment of the present invention, a system for actively damping boom noise is provided comprising an enclosure defining at least one tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode, a first cavity induced low-frequency acoustic mode, and a roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode. The resonant frequency of the at least one tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode is substantially different than the resonant frequencies of the first cavity induced low-frequency acoustic mode or the roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode.

In accordance with yet another embodiment of the present invention, a system for actively damping boom noise is provided comprising an enclosure, a sensor, an acoustic wave actuator, and an electronic feedback loop. The enclosure defines a tailgate panel and at least one tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode. The sensor can be selected from an acoustic wave sensor, a motion sensor, and a combination thereof. The motion sensor can be secured to the tailgate panel and can comprise an accelerometer. If the sensor is the acoustic wave sensor, the acoustic wave actuator is substantially collocated with the acoustic wave sensor. The acoustic wave sensor can be positioned within the enclosure and can comprise a microphone. The electronic feedback loop can be selected from a first electronic feedback loop defining an acoustic damping controller, a second electronic feedback loop defining a vibro-acoustic controller, and a combination thereof.

The motion sensor can be configured to produce a tailgate motion sensor signal representative of the at least one tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode and can comprise an electric signal indicative of measured acceleration detected by the motion sensor as a result of structural vibration of the tailgate panel. The tailgate motion sensor signal can be representative of a single or a plurality of tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes. The acoustic wave sensor can be configured to produce an acoustic wave sensor signal representative of the at least one tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode and can comprise an electric signal

indicative of measured acoustic resonance detected by the acoustic wave sensor within the enclosure. The acoustic wave sensor signal can be representative of a single or a plurality of tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes.

The acoustic damping controller can define a first electronic feedback loop input coupled to an acoustic wave sensor signal and a first electronic feedback loop output, wherein the first electronic feedback loop is configured to generate a first electronic feedback loop output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the acoustic wave sensor signal. The vibro-acoustic controller can define a second electronic feedback loop input coupled to a motion sensor signal and a second electronic feedback loop output, wherein the second electronic feedback loop is configured to generate a second electronic feedback loop output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the motion sensor signal.

In accordance with yet another embodiment of the present invention, a system for actively damping boom noise is provided comprising an enclosure defining a plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes, wherein the low-frequency acoustic modes are excited by idle engine firings; an acoustic wave sensor; a motion sensor secured to a panel of the enclosure; an acoustic wave actuator substantially collocated with the acoustic wave sensor; a first electronic feedback loop defining an acoustic damping controller; and a second electronic feedback loop defining a vibro-acoustic controller. The enclosure of this embodiment of the present invention can comprise a cabin of an automobile.

Accordingly, it is an object of the present invention to provide a system and method that effectively reduces boom noise within an enclosure where the noise generated within the enclosure is characterized by a plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. This and other objects of the present invention will become apparent from the following description of the invention and claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a general schematic illustration of a system for actively damping boom noise according to the present invention.

Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) illustrate different acoustic mode shapes of an enclosure.

Fig. 3 is a plot of the acoustic frequency response of a rectangular space.

Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) illustrate different acoustic modes of a rectangular space.

Lowest (negative) and highest (positive) pressures are signified by medium and dark shades, respectively.

Fig. 5 is a schematic illustration of a system for actively damping boom noise according to another embodiment of the present invention.

Fig. 6 is a block diagram of the different controller and acoustic wave actuator (speaker) arrangements of the present invention.

Fig. 7(a) is a plot of the acoustic frequency response function of an uncontrolled (dashed line) and controlled (solid line) rectangular enclosure at 20-450 Hz according to the present invention.

Fig. 7(b) is a plot of the acoustic frequency response function of an uncontrolled (dashed line) and controlled (solid line) rectangular enclosure at 20-110 Hz according to the present invention.

Fig. 8 is a plot of the frequency response functions mapping the voltage driving the disturbance speaker to the scaled pressure at the driver's ear without (dashed line) and with (solid line) the acoustic damping controller of the present invention.

Fig. 9 is a general block diagram of the first electronic feedback loop system according to the present invention.

Fig. 10 is a plot of the frequency response functions mapping the voltage driving the piezo shaker to the scaled pressure at the driver's ear without (dashed line) and with (solid line) the vibro-acoustic controller of the present invention.

Fig. 11 is a general block diagram illustrating the first and second electronic feedback loop systems according to the present invention.

Fig. 12(a) is a plot of the frequency response functions mapping the voltage driving the piezo shaker to the scaled pressure measured at the rear seats of a sport utility vehicle for the controlled and uncontrolled system according to the present invention.

Fig. 12(b) is a plot of the frequency response functions mapping the voltage driving the piezo shaker to the scaled pressure measured at the middle seats of a sport utility vehicle for the controlled and uncontrolled system according to the present invention.

5 Fig. 12(c) is a plot of the frequency response functions mapping the voltage driving the piezo shaker to the scaled pressure measured at the front seats of a sport utility vehicle for the controlled and uncontrolled system according to the present invention.

10 Fig. 13 is a plot of the frequency response functions mapping the voltage driving the piezo shaker to the scaled pressure at the driver's ear without (dashed line) and with (solid line) the vibro-acoustic controller of the present invention.

Fig. 14 is a schematic illustration of a system for actively damping boom noise according to another embodiment of the present invention.

15 Fig. 15 is a plot of the frequency response functions mapping the voltage driving the electromagnetic shaker to the scaled pressure at the driver's ear without (dashed line) and with (solid line) the vibro-acoustic controller of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Referring initially to Fig. 1, a system for actively damping boom noise 10 according to the present invention is illustrated in general schematic form. The system 10 employs two separate feedback control schemes for reducing the boominess of sound at frequencies corresponding to a plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. The system 10 comprises an enclosure 11, an acoustic wave sensor 20, a motion sensor 30, an acoustic wave actuator 40, a first electronic feedback loop, and a second
25 electronic feedback loop. As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art of acoustics, the enclosure 11, which can be a cabin of an automobile, defines a plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. The plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes can be induced/excited by the enclosure cavity, by the structural vibration of a panel of the enclosure, by idle engine firings, or a combination thereof.

An enclosed space produces a complex set of standing waves, whose natural frequencies are determined by the dimensions of that space. The determination of these standing wave frequencies and shapes, and the proper measures to eliminate them, involves mathematical modeling of the enclosure. Wave propagation is commonly used to study and design the low-frequency acoustics of generally rectangular enclosures, such as cabins of large automobiles (minivans and sport utility vehicles). This method is based upon the motion of waves within a three-dimensional bounded space.

For more complex geometries, finite element analysis can be used to model the acoustics of an enclosure and identify resonant frequencies and mode shapes. Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) illustrate two acoustic mode shapes of the cabin of a large automobile. Due to the symmetry of the cabin, only half of the cavity (along its width) is modeled for efficient computations.

The resonant frequencies and the corresponding mode shapes of the standing waves in a closed space depend primarily on the shape and size of the space, whereas their damping depend mainly on the boundary conditions, i.e., either acoustic impedance or the absorption at the walls. Stiff walls keep more energy in the enclosure and make the distribution of energy in the modal range much less even, with the modal peaks more distinct.

For purposes of further defining and describing the present invention, the transmission of sound from a point volume velocity source located at one corner of a $3.8 \times 1.5 \times 1.2$ m rectangular closed space (approximately the size of a mid-size sport utility vehicle) to an acoustic wave sensor such as a microphone located in a diagonally opposite corner over the frequency range of 20-450 Hz is depicted in Fig. 3. This figure illustrates the marked influence an enclosure has on sound transmission, especially at very low frequencies. Consequently, most of the bass acoustic energy is in the first mode (or first few modes). This is the reason for the *flabby*, *boomy*, "one-tone" character of low-frequency sound in a large vehicle.

Table 1 below shows the resonant frequencies under 215 Hz for the $3.8 \times 1.5 \times 1.2$ m rectangular space. The corresponding modes are either numbered consecutively in

the order of increase in resonant frequency or indexed using three integers indicating the number of cycles of the standing waves formed in length, width, and height directions (x, y, and z) of the enclosure. For example, mode #4, corresponding to the resonance frequency of 124 Hz, has the mode index of 1, 1, 0 indicating one standing wave along x, one along y, and none along z directions.

Table 1. Natural Frequencies Under 215 Hz for a 3.8×1.5×1.2 m Rectangular Space

Mode	Nx, Ny, Nz	F, Hz
1	1,0,0	45.13
2	2,0,0	90.26
3	0,1,0	116.41
4	1,1,0	124.85
5	3,0,0	135.39
6	0,0,1	140.66
7	2,1,0	147.30
8	1,0,1	147.72
9	2,0,1	167.13
10	3,1,0	178.56
11	4,0,0	180.52
12	0,1,1	182.58
13	1,1,1	188.08
14	3,0,1	195.24
15	2,1,1	203.68
16	4,1,1	214.80

Fig. 3 illustrates the modal patterns for two of the standing waves of the 3.8×1.5×1.2 m space. Each mode shape clearly indicates how tones at their corresponding frequencies will be heard in the enclosure. Mode #1 (indexed 1, 0, 0) that carries most of the low-frequency acoustic energy is a one dimensional, 45 Hz (see Table 1 above) standing wave formed along the length of the space. Any sound at 45 Hz or its close vicinity will be heard the loudest close to the front and rear ends of the space and the lowest at the middle along the length (see Fig. 4(a)). This is why in a sport utility vehicle with three rows of seats, the boom noise is felt more by the front and rear row seat passengers than it is by the middle row seat passengers.

Standing waves occur at high frequencies too. However, due to the short wavelength of sound at higher frequencies, the modal density (the number of modes in a frequency interval) at these frequencies is by far higher than that at low frequencies. For example, there are as many modes in the 20-165 Hz frequency range of Table 1 above as the number of modes in the 165-215 Hz range. Higher modal density along with the high absorption effectiveness of the plush interior and other absorptive material within the enclosed space make the variation in sound intensity at different frequencies less noticeable at higher frequencies; see Fig. 3. Nevertheless, plush interior and sound absorptive materials do not solve the problem of unwanted low-frequency boom noise within an enclosure. Low-frequency absorbers, such as Helmholtz resonators (HRs), can be used as an effective solution for this problem. These resonators can be designed to effectively absorb the energy of offending, low-frequency modes that cause boominess.

The frequency that a HR is tuned to is inversely proportional to the square root of the cavity volume of the resonator. This makes the size of HRs objectionably large when tuned to low frequencies. Another potential concern about using a HR is that when used for adding damping to an acoustic mode, a fair amount of energy dissipation should occur in the HR. There might not be enough friction to the flow of fluid in the neck of a typical HR for it to be used effectively in such capacity. Lastly, a HR can only be tuned to a single frequency. When absorption at multiple frequencies is required, a bank of HRs should be used, further exacerbating the size problem.

Thus, in accordance with the present invention, the acoustic wave sensor **20**, which can be positioned within the enclosure **11**, is configured to produce an acoustic wave sensor signal **21** representative of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes (see Fig. 1). Specifically, the acoustic wave sensor **20** can be a microphone which produces an electric signal indicative of measured acoustic resonance detected by the acoustic wave sensor **20** within the enclosure **11**. More specifically, the acoustic wave sensor signal **21** can be representative of a single cavity induced low-frequency acoustic mode or a plurality of cavity induced low-frequency acoustic modes.

The acoustic wave actuator **40** can also be positioned within the enclosure **11** and is substantially collocated with the acoustic wave sensor **20** to optimize noise damping according to the present invention. For purposes of defining and describing the present invention, it should be understood that a substantially collocated arrangement includes any arrangement where the acoustic wave actuator **40** and the acoustic wave sensor **20** are positioned close enough to each other to ensure that the phase angles of the wave propagating through the enclosure **11** in the vicinity of the acoustic wave actuator **40** and the acoustic wave sensor **20** are the same at low frequencies. For example, the acoustic wave actuator **40** and the acoustic wave sensor **20** are substantially collocated relative to each other when they are positioned directly adjacent to each other, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The general position of the collocated acoustic wave sensor **20** and acoustic wave actuator **40** within the enclosure **11** may be as indicated in Fig. 1, but is typically selected to correspond to the location of an acoustic anti-node of a target acoustic mode within the enclosure **11**. The location of the anti-node may be determined by measuring pressure at a target frequency at various locations within the enclosure **11** or through construction of an acoustic model of the enclosure **11**.

Also illustrated in Fig. 1, the motion sensor **30** is secured to a panel **50** of the enclosure **11** and is configured to produce a motion sensor signal **31** representative of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. The panel **50** can be any of an infinite number of panels which form the enclosure **11**, including, but not limited to, roof panels, side panels, tailgate panels, floor panels, etc. The motion sensor **30** can be an accelerometer which produces an electric signal indicative of measured acceleration detected by the motion sensor **30** as a result of structural vibration of the panel **50**. Low-cost MEMS accelerometers similar to the ones used in air bag systems can be used as sensors. More specifically, the motion sensor signal **31** can be representative of a single structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode or a plurality of structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes.

Referring now to Fig. 5, the enclosure **11** can further define a front roof panel **50a**, a middle roof panel **50b**, and a rear roof panel **50c**. Typically, a middle panel

motion sensor **30a** is secured to the middle roof panel **50b** and a rear panel motion sensor **30b** is secured to the rear roof panel **50c**. While Fig. 5 shows three roof panels and two motion sensors, the enclosure **11** may have one or an infinite number of roof panels, as well as one or an infinite number of motion sensors secured thereto. The middle panel motion sensor **30a** is configured to produce a middle panel motion sensor signal **31a** representative of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. Further, the rear panel motion sensor **30b** is configured to produce a rear panel motion sensor signal **31b** representative of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. Specifically, the middle panel motion sensor **30a** and the rear panel motion sensor **30b** can both be accelerometers which produce electric signals indicative of measured acceleration detected by the middle and rear panel motion sensors **30a**, **30b** as a result of structural vibration of the middle roof panel **50b** and the rear roof panel **50c**, respectively. More specifically, the middle panel motion sensor signal **31a** and the rear panel motion sensor signal **31b** can each be representative of a single roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode, which can be representative of the same roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode, or different. Further, the middle panel motion sensor signal **31a** and the rear panel motion sensor signal **31b** can be representative of a plurality of roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes, which can be the same or different.

Referring now to Figs. 1 and 5, the first electronic feedback loop defines an acoustic damping controller **22**. The acoustic damping controller **22** can define a first electronic feedback loop input **23** coupled to the acoustic wave sensor signal **21** and a first electronic feedback loop output **24**. The first electronic feedback loop can be configured to generate a first electronic feedback loop output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the acoustic wave sensor signal **21**. The acoustic damping controller **22** should be tuned such that its natural frequency matches the resonant frequency of the cavity targeted for damping.

The feedback loop transfer function according to the present invention comprises a second order differential equation including a first variable ζ representing a predetermined damping ratio and a second variable representing a tuned natural

frequency ω_n . Two specific examples of transfer functions according to the present invention are presented in detail below with reference to equations (1) and (2). The acoustic damping controller **22** can be programmed to apply the feedback loop transfer function, and the other functions associated with the first electronic feedback loop described herein. Alternatively, the first electronic feedback loop may comprise conventional solid-state electronic devices configured to apply the functions associated with the first electronic feedback loop.

The first variable ζ and the second variable ω_n are selected to damp at least one of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. Specifically, the first variable ζ representing the predetermined damping ratio is a value between about 0.1 and about 0.5 or, more typically, a value between about 0.3 and about 0.4. The second variable ω_n representing the tuned natural frequency is selected to be substantially equivalent to a natural frequency of a target acoustic mode of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. Typically, the target acoustic mode comprises the lowest frequency mode of the plurality of low-frequency acoustic modes. It is contemplated by the present invention that, the second variable ω_n representing the tuned natural frequency may be selected to be offset from the target acoustic mode so to be positioned between the characteristic frequencies of two adjacent modes. In this manner, the magnitude of a plurality of adjacent acoustic modes may be damped.

The feedback loop transfer function can be as follows:

$$\frac{V(s)}{P(s)} = C \frac{s^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2} \quad (1)$$

where the units of $V(s)$ corresponds to the rate of change of volume velocity, $P(s)$ corresponds to the pressure at the location of the acoustic wave actuator **40** and the acoustic wave sensor **20**, s is the Laplace variable, ζ is a damping ratio, ω_n is the tuned natural frequency, and C is a constant representing a power amplification factor

and a gain value. The feedback loop transfer function of equation (1) is derived from a model of a Helmholtz resonator attached to the enclosure 11 and maps the pressure in the enclosure 11 where the acoustic wave actuator 40 and the acoustic wave sensor 20 are collocated to the rate of change of volume velocity generated by the acoustic wave actuator 40.

Alternatively, the feedback loop transfer function can be as follows:

$$\frac{V(s)}{P(s)} = -C \frac{\omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2} \quad (2)$$

where the units of $V(s)$ corresponds to the rate of change of volume velocity, $P(s)$ corresponds to the pressure at the location of the acoustic wave actuator 40 and the acoustic wave sensor 20, s is the Laplace variable, ζ is a damping ratio, ω_n is the tuned natural frequency, and C is a constant representing the power amplification factor and the gain value. The feedback loop transfer function of equation (2) is derived from the positive position feedback active damping mechanism utilized for structural damping. It is noted that the power amplification factor and the gain value are dependent upon the particular specifications of the enclosure geometry, the acoustic wave sensor 20 and the acoustic wave actuator 40, and upon the amplitude of the noise created by the acoustic disturbance 12, and are subject to selection and optimization by those practicing the present invention.

The feedback loop transfer function can define a frequency response having a characteristic maximum gain G_{MAX} substantially corresponding to the value of the tuned natural frequency ω_n . The gain increases substantially uniformly from a minimum frequency value to an intermediate frequency value to define the characteristic maximum gain G_{MAX} and decreases from the maximum gain G_{MAX} substantially uniformly from the intermediate frequency value to a maximum frequency value. For the purposes of describing and defining the present invention it is noted that a substantially uniform increase comprises an increase that is not interrupted by any

temporary decreases. Similarly, a substantially uniform decrease comprises a decrease that is not interrupted by any temporary increases. A substantially uniform increase or decrease may be characterized by changes in the rate of increase or decrease.

To further optimize low-frequency noise damping according to the present invention, the feedback loop transfer function can create +90° phase shifts substantially at the tuned natural frequency ω_n . This 90° phase lead counters a 90° phase lag of the enclosure 11 at a frequency corresponding to the tuned natural frequency ω_n .

An inverse speaker model can be utilized in the first electronic feedback loop to compensate for the acoustic dynamics introduced into the system by the acoustic wave actuator 40. As part of this compensation, the inverse speaker model can be configured to introduce a phase that is equal to, but opposite in sign, with respect to the phase introduced by the acoustic wave actuator 40. The feedback loop transfer function for this compensated acoustic damping controller can be as follows:

$$\frac{V(s)}{P(s)} = C \frac{s^2 + 2\zeta_s \omega_{ns} s + \omega_{ns}^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta \omega_n s + \omega_n^2} \quad (3)$$

where the units of $V(s)$ corresponds to the rate of change of volume velocity, $P(s)$ corresponds to the pressure at the location of the acoustic wave actuator 40 and the acoustic wave sensor 20, s is the Laplace variable, ζ and ζ_s are damping ratios, ω_n and ω_{ns} are tuned natural frequencies, and C is a constant representing a power amplification factor and a gain value. A block diagram of the acoustic damping controller 22 and the acoustic wave actuator 40 is shown in Fig. 6(a). Block diagrams of the compensated acoustic damping controller and acoustic wave actuator are shown in Figs. 6(b) and 6(c).

In a simulation study, two acoustic damping controllers, cascaded in parallel, were tuned to the first two acoustic modes of the 3.8×1.5×1.2 m rectangular enclosure discussed above. Using the model of the enclosure, the effectiveness of these acoustic damping controllers was evaluated at ten different points within the enclosure. Figs.

7(a) and 7(b) show the uncontrolled and controlled frequency response function of the cavity at one of these locations. Although damping is a geometry independent parameter, the frequency response functions at other locations were closely examined to assure that active damping at one location is not achieved at the expense of
5 deteriorating damping at other locations. The acoustic wave actuator was located at the rear right corner of the enclosure with the acoustic wave sensor nearly collocated with it.

The system of the present invention for actively damping cavity induced low-frequency boom noise within an enclosure was tested by installing a speaker and a low-cost microphone, as the acoustic wave actuator and sensor, and an op-amp circuit
10 controller (the acoustic damping controller) in a sport utility vehicle. The acoustic damping controller, which was tuned to the first cavity induced acoustic mode of the vehicle cavity, added a significant amount of damping to that mode (around 45 Hz); see Fig. 8 depicting the frequency response function mapping the voltage driving the acoustic wave actuator to the pressure measured (by the acoustic wave sensor) at the driver's ear location. A block diagram of this feedback control system is shown in Fig. 9.

The acoustic damping controller could have been tuned to other standing waves or even more than one standing wave and received equally effective results. Experimental and simulation results both indicate the effectiveness of this controller in adding damping to the selective, low-frequency acoustic modes.

In addition to the cavity originated resonance, a vehicle or other like enclosure (depending on its design) could exhibit adjacent acoustic peak frequencies originated from the roof structural vibration. An enhancement to the above acoustic damping strategy has been developed to add damping to the first acoustic mode originated from roof vibration. Depending on the application, this enhancement can either work in
25 conjunction with the first electronic feedback loop, sharing the same acoustic wave actuator, or it can be a stand-alone, active feedback control scheme.

Also illustrated in Fig. 1, the second electronic feedback loop defines a vibro-acoustic controller **32**. The vibroacoustic controller **32** can define a second electronic feedback loop input **33** coupled to the motion sensor signal **31** and a second electronic
30 feedback loop output **34**. The second electronic feedback loop can be configured to

generate a second electronic feedback loop output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the motion sensor signal **31**.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the vibro-acoustic control system using the single motion sensor **30**, the roof of a cabin of a sport utility vehicle was shaken using a piezo shaker while the pressure near the driver's ear was measured. The frequency response functions mapping the voltage driving the piezo shaker to the measured pressure, with and without active feedback control, were evaluated and the scaled magnitude is illustrated in Fig. 10. The vibro-acoustic controller, which was tuned to the first roof induced vibro-acoustic mode, effectively damps that mode (around 40 Hz).

The low-frequency of the vibro-acoustic mode targeted for damping allows for an even more simplified vibro-acoustic controller. When the tuned natural frequency is well below the corner frequency of the acoustic wave actuator (e.g., 70 Hz for a Polk Audio 8 inch dX Series speaker in a small box), then the phase angle added to the system by the acoustic wave actuator is predictable (about 180 degrees). Consequently, it is also possible to compensate for the dynamics of the acoustic wave actuator by accounting for the fact that the speaker adds a phase lead of about 180 degrees at low frequencies. As such, a phase lag of 180 degrees can be added to the vibro-acoustic controller by applying the following feedback loop transfer function:

$$\frac{V(s)}{P(s)} = C \frac{\omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2} \quad (4)$$

where the units of $V(s)$ corresponds to the rate of change of volume velocity, $P(s)$ corresponds to the pressure at the location of the acoustic wave actuator **40** and the acoustic wave sensor **20**, s is the Laplace variable, ζ is a damping ratio, ω_n is the tuned natural frequency, and C is a constant representing a power amplification factor and a gain value. The feedback loop transfer function of equation (4) will not be augmented by the inverse of the speaker transfer function.

As further illustrated in Fig. 5, the second electronic feedback loop can further define a middle panel vibro-acoustic controller **32a** in parallel with a rear panel vibro-acoustic controller **32b**. The middle panel vibro-acoustic controller **32a** can define a middle panel vibro-acoustic controller input **33a** coupled to the middle panel motion sensor signal **31a** and a middle panel vibro-acoustic controller output **34a**. The middle panel vibro-acoustic controller can be further configured to generate a middle panel vibro-acoustic controller output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the middle panel motion sensor signal **31a**. The rear panel vibro-acoustic controller **32b** can define a rear panel vibro-acoustic controller input **33b** coupled to the rear panel motion sensor signal **31b** and a rear panel vibro-acoustic controller output **34b**. The rear panel vibro-acoustic controller **32b** can be further configured to generate a rear panel vibro-acoustic controller output signal by applying an electronic feedback loop transfer function to the rear panel motion sensor signal **31b**.

The middle panel vibro-acoustic controller output signal and the rear panel vibro-acoustic controller output signal can be combined to generate a second electronic feedback loop output signal **35** (see Fig. 5), which can be an electric signal. The acoustic wave actuator **40** substantially collocated with the acoustic wave sensor **20** is responsive to both the first electronic feedback loop output signal **25** and the second electronic feedback loop output signal **35**, which are both representative of a rate of change of volume velocity to be produced by the acoustic wave actuator **40**. The acoustic wave actuator **40** can introduce characteristic acoustic dynamics into the system **10** in response to the first and second electronic feedback loops. Fig. 11 shows a block diagram of this control strategy.

In a laboratory evaluation, two pieces of large piezoelectric strain actuators were mounted on a high strain area of the roof of a sport utility vehicle and were driven as a unit to excite the vibro-acoustic system by vibrating the roof. The frequency response function mapping the voltage driving the piezo shakers to the pressure at the driver's ear was measured. Figs. 12(a) – 12(c) and 13 depict these frequency response functions which clearly show the effectiveness of the controller performing the job it was designed for, i.e., adding damping to the first roof induced vibro-acoustic mode (around

40 Hz). This control solution was also evaluated, subjectively, achieving high scores. The reasonable cost of this control strategy along with its high effectiveness makes it a very viable boom noise controller.

In addition, it has also been observed that the idle engine firing frequency (engine rpm multiplied by the number of firings in the cylinders per revolution) of most large vehicles is particularly close to the structural vibration resonance that cause structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes in such vehicles. Consequently, the system of the present invention is also effective in adding damping to low-frequency acoustic modes excited by idle engine firings.

In still another embodiment of the present invention illustrated in Fig. 14, the enclosure 11 further defines a tailgate panel 51. The structural dynamics of the tailgate panel 51 add a very low-frequency vibro-acoustic mode to the enclosure 11 (i.e., the cabin of a large automobile such as a sport utility vehicle). This tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode has a resonant frequency (about 30 Hz) that is substantially different than the resonant frequencies of the roof structural vibration induced acoustic mode (about 40 Hz) and the first cavity induced low-frequency acoustic mode (about 45 Hz). By substantially different we mean a difference in resonant frequency of around 10 Hz. Consequently, in order to add damping to the roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode both a motion sensor and an acoustic wave actuator is utilized given the relatively close resonant frequencies of the roof structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode and the first cavity induced low-frequency acoustic mode (a difference of about 5 Hz). However, given that the resonant frequency of the tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode is substantially different than the first cavity induced resonant frequency (a difference of about 15 Hz) a single sensor and controller can be used to add damping to this mode.

Accordingly, a system for actively damping boom noise is provided comprising an enclosure 11 defining a tailgate panel 51, at least one tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode, a sensor, an acoustic wave actuator, and a single electronic feedback loop. The sensor can be selected from an acoustic wave sensor, a motion sensor 30 secured to the tailgate panel 51, and a combination thereof. If the sensor is

an acoustic wave sensor, it will be substantially collocated with the acoustic wave actuator. The electronic feedback loop can be selected from a first electronic feedback loop defining an acoustic damping controller, a second electronic feedback loop defining a vibro-acoustic controller, and a combination thereof.

5 The motion sensor **30** can be an accelerometer (i.e., low-cost MEMS accelerometers similar to those used in air bag systems) and can be configured to produce a tailgate motion sensor signal **31c** that is representative of the at least one tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic mode. The tailgate motion sensor signal **31c** can be an electric signal indicative of measured acceleration detected by the motion sensor **30** as a result of structural vibration of the tailgate panel **51** and can be
10 representative of a single or a plurality of tailgate vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes.

15 The acoustic wave sensor can be a microphone that can be positioned within the enclosure **11**. The acoustic wave sensor can be configured to produce an acoustic wave sensor signal representative of the at least one tailgate induced low-frequency acoustic mode. This acoustic wave sensor signal can comprise an electric signal indicative of measured acoustic resonance detected by the acoustic wave sensor within the enclosure **11** and can be representative of a single or a plurality of structural vibration induced low-frequency acoustic modes.

20 The acoustic damping controller can define a first electronic feedback loop input coupled to an acoustic wave sensor signal and a first electronic feedback loop output, wherein the first electronic feedback loop is configured to generate a first electronic feedback loop output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the acoustic wave sensor signal. In addition, the vibro-acoustic controller can define a
25 second electronic feedback loop input coupled to a motion sensor signal **31c** and a second electronic feedback loop output, wherein the second electronic feedback loop is configured to generate a second electronic feedback loop output signal by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the motion sensor signal **31c**.

30 The influence of the tailgate panel **51** of a sport utility vehicle on the vibro-acoustics of the vehicle cabin was studied. The tuning frequency was much smaller

than the corner frequency of the speaker or acoustic wave actuator. Accordingly, the feedback loop transfer function of equation (4) with two poles and no zeros was used for active damping. The sign of the feedback will depend on whether the motion sensor 30 is secured inside or outside of the vehicle cabin. Using the controller of equation (4), the same mode was also damped by feeding back acoustic pressure measured by an acoustic wave sensor and a collocated acoustic wave actuator, either in conjunction with or in place of measured acceleration feedback.

The effectiveness of this control scheme was evaluated by shaking the tailgate panel 51 using an electromagnetic shaker while the acoustic pressure near the driver's ear was measured. The frequency response functions mapping the voltage driving the electromagnetic shaker to the acoustic pressure measured, both with and without control, were evaluated and their scaled magnitude is depicted in Fig. 15. The vibro-acoustic controller, with only a denominator, was tuned to the 30 Hz mode. As illustrated in Fig. 15, the active feedback control system added an appreciable amount of damping to the targeted mode.

Accordingly, low-frequency boom noise within an enclosure is significantly damped, according to the present invention, by securing the motion sensor 30 to a panel of the enclosure 11, positioning the acoustic wave sensor 20 within the enclosure 11, positioning the acoustic wave actuator 40 within the enclosure 11, substantially collocating the acoustic wave sensor 20 with the acoustic wave actuator 40, and coupling the first and second electronic feedback loop inputs 23, 33 of the first and second electronic feedback loops to the acoustic wave sensor signal 21. The first and second electronic feedback loops are configured to generate the respective first and second electronic feedback loop output signals, which are coupled to the acoustic wave actuator 40, by applying a feedback loop transfer function to the acoustic wave sensor signal 21 and motion sensor signal 31. The feedback loop transfer function can comprise a second order differential equation including the first variable ζ representing a predetermined damping ratio and the second variable ω_n representing a tuned natural

Docket No. UVD 0298 PA

frequency. Values for the first variable ζ and the second variable ω_n are selected to optimize damping of at least one of the plurality of low-frequency modes.

While certain representative embodiments and details have been shown for purposes of illustrating the invention, it will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various changes in the methods and apparatus disclosed herein may be made without departing from the scope of the invention, which is defined in the appended claims.

What is claimed is: